

Callum Kilpatrick's final IM norm is just minutes away

Berry, Neil	0-1	Burnett, Andrew					
Kilpatrick, Callum	1/2_1/2	Michielsen, Joost					
McNab, Colin A	1-0	Steil-Antoni, Fiona					
Hamitevici, Vladimir	1/2_1/2	Bremner, Adam					

he last round and a lot was at stake. Would Joost, already guaranteed outright first, force Callum to work for his norm? Would I finally manage to score a full point? Would Fiona, for that matter? I'd like to think we were both worthy of at least 1 win, but in the end Colin sunk Fiona's hopes while mine were finally realised in the last game to finish—a fiendishly tricky R&P endgame.

Joost decided that Callum deserved his norm and offered the early draw which this time Callum took! Excellent play by both and hopefully we'll see them north of the border again sometime soon.

Vlad tried and tried to win against Adam, but there seemed to be a stalemate trick in every variation -great defence by Adam secured the draw and so the tournament ended. A lot of exhausted and tired players (and not forgetting the 'arbiter without a voice' Andy Howie!) and a very happy organiser, David Oswald, who'd probably be mad to do it all again—but hopefully will!

(41) Berry FM, Neil (2242) – Burnett, Andrew (2209) [A84]

Winter Festival Festival -Premier(9.1), 05.01.2014

1.d4 d5 2.c4 e6 3.4f3 c6 4.4c3 f5 5.4f4 4d6 6.e3! White doesnt mind his pawns being disrupted if it gives him extra control over the e5 square 6... e7!? 7.2d3 ଦ୍ରିf6 8.0-0 0-0 9.ଞ୍ଚିc2 ଦିe4 10.ዿxd6 ଞ୍ଚିxd6 11.ଦିe5 ଦିd7 12.f3 �g5 13.f4 �e4 14.c5 ∰e7 15.b4 �xe5 16.dxe5 [16.fxe5!? this seems to be a better way of recapturing although it does look like black will have some counterplay on the k-side.] 16...b6! although the engine prefers white I was more optimistic about my position now 21.☆f2 g5 22.g3 \(\bar{2}\) ab8 23.\(\bar{2}\) fb1 \(\bar{2}\) xe2 24.\(\bar{2}\) xe2 gxf4 25.gxf4 ☆f7 26.\\Bigs b3 \Bigs g8?! I thought this was a very useful move to drag the white king one square further from any q-side action. However, in the K&P endings which might occur, forcing the king to f2 seems to allow white to at least draw by invading on the k-side. [26...\bulletb5! 27.\bulletab1 (27.\bulletxb5 cxb5) 27...\bulletfb8 28.\bulletxb5 \(\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
\begin{alig 38.d5 b3+ 39.⊈xb3 e2 40.d6 e1∰ 41.c7+ ⊈c8 42.e7 f4] 27. **₫f2 罩b5 28. 罩ab1 罩gb8**



29. \dot{\phi}e2?! [29. \dot{\pi}xb5 \dot{\pi}xb5 \dot{30. \dot{\pi}xb5 \cxb5 \dot{31. \dot{\phi}g3! This is the idea I had overlooked in my calculations. It looks too slow but in fact isn't. (31. 262 b4 32. 2d2 a5) 31...a5 Winter Chess Festival -Premier (9.2), 05.01.2014 32.c6 \$\div e7\$ 33.f5 exf5 34.\$\div f4\$ b4 (34...\$\div e6\$ 35.c7 \$\div d7\$ 36. \$\displanch\displance xc7 \, 37.e6 \, d4 \, 38. \$\displance xe4 \, dxe3 \, 39. \$\displance xe5 \, \displance d6 \, 1.e4 \, c5 \, 2. \$\displance f3 \, d6 \, 3.c3 \, \displance f6 \, 4.\displance 2 \, g6 \, 5.0-0 \, \displance g7 \, 6.\displance b5+ 40. 中d4 b4 41. 中c4 中xe6 42. 中b5 中d5 43. 中xa5 中c5 皇d7 Joost had already won the tournament outright and 44. ₾a4 ₾c4 45. ₾a5 h5 46. ₾a4 ₾c3 47.h3 h4=) 35. ₾xf5 a4 36.c7 \$\displant d7 37.e6+ \$\displant xc7 38.\$\displant f6 b3 39.axb3 axb3 finally clinch your 3rd norm, so sees no reason to make 40.e7 b2 41.e8營 b1營] **29...a5 30.空d2 空e8 31.**冨xb5 Callum sweat for his! Congratulations to both players on **\Barackappa xxb5 32.\Barackappa c1** [32.\Barackappa xb5 white can't take here as the black their excellent victories and generally splendid play and pawns break through easily. Compared to the earlier version, white's king is purely defensive here and can't help his own pawns to advance. 32...cxb5 33.c6 (33.\(\dot{\pm}c3\) b4+ 34. \(\display b3 \) d4 35.exd4 e3 36. \(\display c2 \) a4) 33...b4 34.f5 exf5 35.e6 \$\dip d8\$ 36.h3 h6 37.h4 h5 38.\$\dip c2\$ a4 39.\$\dip d2\$ b3 40.axb3 axb3 41.фc3 f4 42.exf4 e3] **32...a4 33.**ℤ**c3** ф**d7** 34.\(\bar{Z}\)a3 \(\bar{Z}\)b4 35.\(\dagge\)c3 \(\bar{Z}\)c4+ 36.\(\dagge\)b2 h5 37.\(\bar{Z}\)c3 \(\bar{Z}\)b4+ 38.\(\dagge\) a3 **\Bb1** 39.\psi xa4 **\Bb2** 40.h4 [40.a3 **\Bxh2** 41.\Bb3 \psi c7 42.\(\bar{Z}\)b1 \(\bar{Z}\)h3 43.\(\bar{Z}\)e1 h4 44.\(\dagge\)b3 d4-+; 40.\(\hat{A}\) \(\bar{Z}\)xa2+ 41.\(\dagge\) b3 \(\bar{B}\) 40...\(\bar{B}\) xa2+ 41.\(\phi\) b3 \(\bar{B}\) e2 42.\(\phi\) b4 \(\bar{B}\) b2+



43. \Delta a4 [43. \Bar{\Bar{B}}b3? this move loses as again the white king can't cope with the 2 passed pawns black will have after the following sequence. 43... \(\mathbb{Z}\) xb3+ 44.\(\dot{\pi}\) xb3 \(\dot{\phi}\) e8 45.\(\dot{\phi}\) c2 (45. \$\dag{\phi}a4 d4; 45. \$\dag{\phi}b4 \dag{\phi}f7 46. \$\dag{\phi}a5 d4) 45... \$\dag{\phi}f7 46. \$\dag{\phi}d1\$ ሟg6 47.ሟe2 ሟf5 48.ሟf2 ሟg4 49.ሟg2 ሟxh4 50.f5 ሟg5 51.f6 (51.fxe6 \$\div g6!\$ it was important to not simply 'calculate' move by move, but to see the different ways to return to cover the white pawns. 52. \$\ding{\pm}g3 \ding{\pm}g7 53. \$\ding{\pm}f4 \ding{\pm}\$ f8) 51... ±g6 52. ±g3 ±f7 and also to spot that black can force white into zugzwang of sorts. 53. \$\dong g2 h4] 43...\$\dong e8 44. \$\psi_a5 \psi_f7 \ 45. \$\psi_a6 \quad \quad b5 \ 46. \quad c1 \quad \quad b3 \ 47. \quad \quad e1 \quad \quad e7 [47... \$\dig 6 48.\Big1+ \$\dig f5 49.\Big5# is to be avoided.] **48.\Big2 �d7 49.\Barg2** [49.\Beq 1 \\ \Delta c7 50.\Beq 2?? (50.\Delta a5 \Beta b5+ 51.\Delta \) a4 \(\mathbb{Z}xc5\) and finally an important pawn drops) 50...\(\mathbb{Z}a3\)# is black's version of the mate] 49... \alpha xe3 50.\alpha g7+ \dot{\phi}e8 **51. \delta b6 \delta d3 52. \delta xc6 e3 53. \delta g3** [53. **\delta g1 e2** 54. **\delta e1 \delta d2** 55. 4d6 d4] **53...e2 54. 2xd3 e1 55. 2a3 2xh4** [55... 4e4 was my intial intention and might have been easier 56.\(\mathbb{Z}\)a8+ \(\phi\)f7 57.\(\mathbb{Z}\)a7+ \(\phi\)g6 58.\(\mathbb{D}\)d6 d4] **56.\(\mathbb{Z}\)a8+ \(\phi\)f7** 57.≅a7+ фg6 58.фd6 ∰xf4 59.c6 ∰b4+ 60.фd7 for a couple of minutes I thought I had blown the win, but it's clear now that black has many winning moves here. 60... wc5 61. Za2 [61. Za1 would have been better in practice as the rook is on less vulnerable squares (a2/ g2)] 61...d4 62.\(\mathbb{Z}\)g2+ \(\phi\)f5 63.c7 \(\mathbb{W}\)d5+ 64.\(\phi\)e7 \(\mathbb{W}\)xg2 65.c8₩ ₩g7+ 0-1

(42) Kilpatrick, Callum (2350) - Michielsen, Joost (2343) [B50]

as an IM already he would know how much it means to behaviour over the 9 days. ½-1/2

(43) McNab, Colin (2457) – Steil–Antoni, Fiona (2190) [A27]

Winter Chess Festival -Premier (9.3), 05.01.2014

1.c4 e5 2.4c3 4c6 3.4f3 f5 4.d4 e4 5.4g5 4f6 6.e3 h6 [RR 6...\$b4 7.\$d2] **7.**\$\dagger{0}\$h3 g5 **8.**\$\dagger{0}\$g1 \$\dagger{0}\$g7 **9.h4** g4 10.h5!?N [Colin already had a lot of experience in this line. Previously he had preferred 10. ∅ge2 with very complex play also 10... h5 11. d5 d5 de7 12. df4 dxf4 16...ᡚg6 17.ዿg8 ∰e7 18.ᡚd5 ∰d8 19.ᡚf4 ᡚh8 20.ዿd2 a5 21.a4 c6 22.0-0-0 \$\dagger{g}f6 23.\dagger{g}c3 \quad \dagger{g}b8 24.\dagger{g}c4 b5 25.cxb6 \(\begin{align*} \preceq \text{xb6} & 26.\(\begin{align*} \preceq \text{d5} & d5 & 27.\(\delta\)e2 \(\begin{align*} \preceq \text{b8} & 28.f3 \\ \delta\)g8 & 29.fxe4 fxe4 \(\text{fxe4} \) 30.\(\mathbb{I}\)df1 \(\daggered{\mathbb{L}}\)e7 31.g3 McNab,C (2440)−Spencer,E (2128)/ Hawick 2006,1-0 (51)) 17. \$\delta f7 b6 18. \$\delta xh5 \quad \text{\$\textit{f}6 19.f3 exf3}\$ 24. 24. 25 McNab, C (2422) - Mason, D (2280)/West Bromwich 2004, 1-0]



≝xb3 15.axb3 a6 [15...≌b8 is another way to meet the though it wins 56...ᡚd7 forced in view of the Bxb6 threat threat of Nb6] 16.**2d2 公d7 17.2b4 b5!?** attempting a when the a-pawn queens 57.**2g5 2h7** (57...**2f8** 58.**2c7** tactical solution to white's main threat [17...\$f7? allows \$\div e8\$ 59.f5 exf5 (59...\$\div e7\$ 60.\$\div d6+ \$\div e8\$ 61.fxe6 fxe6 the white threat here 18.2xe7 2xe7 19.2g6+; 17...2h7 62.2g6 2d8 63.2f7 wins) 60.2xf5 2e7 61.2d6+ 2d8 looks sensible enough, but you have to prefer white as 62.\$\dong 5 (62.e6? fxe6+ 63.\$\dong xe6 \$\ddong c6 64.\$\dong 67\$ \$\dong e5!\$ draws the lack pieces will not co-ordinate well for a long time.] as 65.\(\delta\xe\)ze5 is stalemate!) 62...\(\delta\)e8 63.\(\delta\)h6) 58.f5 \(\delta\)g7 \$xh8 22.bxc4 b4 23.20a4 \$b7 24.c5! white will be able and we are in a similar position to the game) 59... \$\div h7\$ to find entry points for all his pieces eventually. All black 60.fxe6 fxe6 can do is wait and hope he messes up. 24... \$\delta f6 25. \$\delta c4+ 30. 2b6 and black resigned because of 30... 2a7 31. 2e6 when the pawns start going on the k-side. 1-0

(44) Hamitevici, Vladmir (2508) - Bremner,Adam (2189) [A45]

Winter Chess Festival -Premier (9.4), 05.01.2014

1.d4 \$\alpha\$f6 2.\dotsg5 e6 3.\alpha\$d2 h6 4.\dotsh4 \dotse5e7 5.e3 d6N 6. 2d3 2bd7 7. 2e2 b6 8.c3 2b7 9.f4 White is happy enough to play a Stonewall set-up with his bishop outside the chain. 9...c5 10.e4 but changes his mind now as black chose not to play ...d5 last move. 10... 2c7 11. 2g3 0-0-0 12.e5 2d5 13.2gf3 h5 14.h4 dxe5 15.dxe5 g6 16.a3 \bigode{\mathbb{B}}b8 clearing a square for the knight 17.c4 \bigode{\mathbb{D}}c7 **18.0-0 ②e8 19.②e4** white has a clear spatial advantage here and good squares for his pieces. Black is solid enough, but I didn't really fancy his chances at this point as Vlad was starting to play very well. 19... ac7 20. Deg5 ጃf8 21.ዿf2 ᡚg7 22.b4 ᡚb8 23.b5!? I hesitate to criticise this but it is very commital [23.\mathbb{Z}fb1 retains the flexibility of white's position.] 23...\(\mathbb{Z}\)d7 24.\(\mathbb{Z}\)fb1 \(\mathbb{L}\)xg5 25.\(\mathbb{D}\)xg5 罩fd8 26.罩d1 包f5 27.如e4 [27. \$\dagger style="text-align: center;">27.如e4 [27.\$\dagger style="text-align: center;">28.a4 is another 30.營xd1 營d7 31.營e2 包d4 32.營d3 包f5 33.營c3 營d1+ 34.₩e1 ₩xe1+ 35.ዿxe1



so the queens finally come off. Does white have a way to win this position? The b8-knight is awful, but the bishops are also limited by the pawn structure. 35... 4 h6 36.a4 ର୍ଦ୍ଧd7 37.ଫ୍ରf1 ଫ୍ରc7 38.ଫ୍ରe2 ର୍ଦ୍ଧf8 39.a5 ର୍ଦ୍ରd7 40.ଫ୍ରd3 ର୍ଦ୍ଧf5 41.\(\dosember{1}\)f3 \(\delta\)h6 42.a6!? \(\delta\)b8 43.\(\delta\)e2 \(\delta\)d7 44.\(\delta\)e4 \(\delta\)d8 45. фf3 фe7 46. фg3 Øf5+ 47. фh3 Øh6 48. ዿf3 Øb8 49.≜f2 dd8 50.g4 Finally white has to try this break 50...hxg4+ 51.\(\mathre{L}\)xg4 \(\Delta\)xg4 52.\(\Delta\)xg4 \(\Delta\)e7 53.h5 gxh5+ 10...d5 11.ᡚge2 ᡚe7 12.ᡚf4 c6 13.豐b3 豐b6 14.ᡚa4 54.ዽxh5 ዽf8 55.ዿh4 ዽg7 56.ዿf6+ [56.ዿd8!? looks as



61. \$\ddots b8!! a sensational winning attempt! 61... \$\dots xb8 62. \$\ddots\$ f6 Ød7+! 63. exe6 Øxe5!! the only way to draw! play will resemble the stalemate patterns of the game 64. \$\ddot{\dot}\$xe5 \$\ddot{\dot}\$ 69. ½xa7 ½c7] 56... **½h7** 57. **½g5 ½d7** 58.f5 **½xf6** 59. **½ №66 64. № b7 № d7!** club-players often lose such endings because they forget what they are trying to do - draw! 69.a7 2c7 70.e6 fxe6

1/2-1/2

Standings after round 9

1.	Michielsen, Joost (IM)	8/9
2.	Kilpatrick, Callum (FM)	6.5
3=	McNab, Colin A (GM)	5.5
3=	Hamitevici, Vladimir (IM)	5.5
4.	Berry, Neil M (FM)	4
5.	Bremner, Adam	3.5
6.	Burnett, Andrew B	3/9
7=	Steil-Antoni, Fiona (IM)	2.5/9
7=	MacQueen, Calum	2.5/8
	Sreeves, Clement	1.5/2
	Swan, Iain (FM)	1/3
	Green, Andrew D	0.5/2
	Schwartz, Julius	0/1

A STREETFIGHTING CHESS publication for the Winter Chess Festival

www.streetfightingchess.com

Grading Performance

Pool	Name	Club	Stat us	Grade	PNUM	Pts	Plyd	Opps	Act	Plyd	Exp	Diff	Perf
5	Michielsen, Joost (IM)	XK	V	2343	24943	8	9	2290	8	9	5.142	2.858	2643
4	Kilpatrick, Callum (FM)	EN	V	2350	24942	61/2	9	2298	6½	9	5.126	1.374	2465
8	McNab, Colin A (GM)	DV	-	2497	5190	51/2	9	2270	5½	9	6.940	-1.440	2350
9	Hamitevici, Vladimir (IM)	XK	V	2508	24941	51/2	9	2269	5½	9	7.055	-1.555	2349
2	Berry, Neil M (FM)	ED	-	2242	3187	4	9	2301	4	9	3.849	0.151	2262
1	Bremner, Adam	BAED	-	2163	14033	31/2	9	2283	31/2	9	3.183	0.317	2203
6	MacQueen, Calum	EDGI	-	2242	12082	31/2	9	2318	21/2	8	3.252	-0.752	2176
3	Burnett, Andrew B	WDG N	-	2248	3349	3	9	2309	3	9	3.823	-0.823	2187
10	Steil-Antoni, Fiona (IM)	XK	V	2190	24944	21/2	9	2304	21/2	9	3.234	-0.734	2137
12	Sreeves, Clement	ED	-	2248	17871	11/2	2	2299	11/2	2	0.864	0.636	2492
7	Swan, Iain (FM)	PY	-	2145	6171	1	3	2398	1	3	0.651	0.349	2276
11	Green, Andrew D	EDTC	-	2170	15013	1/2	2	2292	1/2	2	0.674	-0.174	2100
13	Schwartz, Julius	IV	-	1927	17850	0	1	2163	0	1	0.207	-0.207	1763

						R	R	R	R	R 3	R 3	R	R		R 5	R	R	R 7	R 7	R	R	R			
Po ol	Name	Club	St atu s	Grade	PNUM	1 Op p	1 Re s	2 Op p	2 Re s	3 Op p			4 Re s	5 Op p		6 Op p	6 Re s	- 1	= 1	_	8 Re s	9 Op p	R9 Re s	Pts	Ply d
1	Bremner, Adam	BAED	-	2163	14033	2 w	0	4b	0	6 w	1/2	8b	0	10 w	1	13 b	1	5 w	0	3b	1/2	9b	1/2	31/2	9
2	Berry, Neil M (FM)	ED	-	2242	3187	1b	1	9 W	0	4 w	1/2	6b	1	8 w	0	10 b	1/2	11 w	1/2	5b	1/2	3 w	0	4	9
3	Burnett, Andrew B	WDG N	-	2248	3349	4 W	0	6b	0	8 W	1/2	10 b	1/2	12 w	1/2	5b	0	9b	0	1 w	1/2	2b	1	3	9
4	Kilpatrick, Callum (FM)	EN	>	2350	24942	3b	1	1 W	1	2b	1/2	9 V	1	6 W	1	8b	1/2	10 w	1	12 b	0	5 w	1/2	61/2	9
5	Michielsen, Joost (IM)	XK	٧	2343	24943	6 w	1	8b	1	10 W	1	11 b	1	9b	1	3 w	1	1b	1	2 w	1/2	4b	1/2	8	9
6	MacQueen, Calum	EDGI	-	2242	12082	5b	0	3 w	1	1b	1/2	2 W	0	4b	0	9 w	0	8 W	1/2	10 b	1/2	Р	1	31/2	9
7	Swan, Iain (FM)	PY	-	2145	6171	8 w	0	10 b	1/2	9b	1/2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	3
8	McNab, Colin A (G M)	DV	-	2497	5190	7b	1	5 w	0	3b	1/2	1 W	1	2b	1	4 w	1/2	6b	1/2	9 W	0	10 w	1	51/2	9
9	Hamitevici, Vladi mir (IM)	XK	٧	2508	24941	10 w	1/2	2b	1	7 w	1/2	4b	0	5 w	0	6b	1	3 W	1	8b	1	1 w	1/2	5 ½	9
10	Steil- Antoni, Fiona (IM)	XK	٧	2190	24944	9b	1/2	7 W	1/2	5b	0	3 W	1/2	1b	0	2 w	1/2	4b	0	6 W	1/2	8b	0	2½	9
11	Green, Andrew D	EDTC	-	2170	15013	-	-	1	1	-	-	5 w	0	-	-	1	-	2b	1/2	-	-	-	-	1/2	2
12	Sreeves, Clement	ED	-	2248	17871	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	3b	1/2	-	-	-	-	4 W	1	-	-	11/2	2
13	Schwartz, Julius	IV	-	1927	17850	-	-	-	- 38	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 w	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	1

38



Callum Kilpatrick (right) receiving his IM norm certificate from Winter Festival organiser David Oswald



Dutch IM Joost Michielsen receiving the winners trophy for his fantastic performance in Edinburgh.



Calum MacQueen strides down the playing hall in Edinburgh Chess Club